



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/711,361 | 09/14/2004 | Timothy C. Bonerb | BON.US.55 | 5360 |
| 24111 7590 11/20/2008 MESMER & DELEAULT, PLLC 1 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE. SUITE 125 PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| FOX, CHARLES A | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 3652 | | | | |
| NOTIFICATION DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 11/20/2008 | | ELECTRONIC | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PHIL@BIZ-PATLAW.COM

mailbox@biz-patlaw.com

phildecker@mac.com



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---------------------|
| 10711361 | 9/14/2004 | BONERB, TIMOTHY C. | BON.US.55 |

MESMER & DELEAULT, PLLC
1 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE.
SUITE 125
PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801

EXAMINER

CHARLES FOX

| ART UNIT | PAPER |
|----------|-------|
|----------|-------|

3652

20081117

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

This letter is in response to phone messages from Phil Decker regarding the rejections of claims 24. Claim 24 should have been rejected along with claim 23 in the office action mailed on October 29, 2008. The primary reference to Bailey et al. teaches the concept of the bottom wall being any angle below 90 degrees relative to the horizontal plane. It is further noted that the instant specification give no relative angle as claimed and none are set forth in the drawings. As such the angle as set forth in claim 24 is met by Bailey et al. as well as being an obvious design choice. The examiner regrets the omission of claim 24 in the above office action.

/ Charles A. Fox/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3652